UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/567,622 | 09/21/2006 | Kunio Fukuda | SONY JP 3.3-401 | 1307 |
| | 7590 07/01/201 /ID, LITTENBERG, | 0 | EXAMINER | |
| KRUMHOLZ & | & MENTLIK | | PHAM, QUANG | |
| 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2612 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/01/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/567,622 | FUKUDA, KUNIO | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| QUANG PHAM | 2612 | | |

| | QUANG PHAM | 2612 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>28 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | -ED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | | | cause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | E below); | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying ti | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | npliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | _ | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 11-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /BENJAMIN C. LEE/ | | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: E.g. in proposed claim 1, "an average power ultilized by the wireless communication system for transmission of the image data and the confirmation thereof is 10 mW (milliwatts) or less" contitutes the new issue.